



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/031,166	01/17/2002	Heinz Auer	50505	4816
26474 75	590 07/28/2004		EXAM	INER
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			PUTTLITZ, KARL J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/031,166	AUER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION oid abandonment of this application of the condition of the cond	N FOR ALLOWANC ation. A proper reply	CE. y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, and the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-9.						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Art Unit: 1621

Applicant's arguments fail to place the application in condition for allowance. Namely, Chang teaches that the prior art utilizes low concentration of catalyst. See column 1, lines 40-43. Therefore, using low concentrations of catalyst in conjunction with the claimed process is known in the art.

SAMUEL BARTS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1600